

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT 2019/2020

MADE AND ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN

MAHLAGAUME MESHACK KGWALE
"THE ACTING MUNICIPAL MANAGER"
(HEREINAFTER "THE EMPLOYER")

ON BEHALF OF THE ELIAS MOTSOALEDI LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

AND

NAMUDI REGINAH MAKGATA

"SENIOR MANAGER: INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES" (HEREIAFTER "THE EMPLOYEE")

AND

JOINTLY REFERRED TO AS "THE PARTIES"

FOR

THE FINANCIAL YEAR 1ST JULY 2019 TO 30TH JUNE 2020

Page 1 of 28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

No.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
1	INTRODUCTION	3
2	PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT	3
3	COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION	3
4	PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES	4
5	PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	4-6
6	EVALUATING PERFORMANCE	6-10
7	OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER	10
8	CONSULTATION	10
9	MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES	11
10	DISPUTES RESOLUTION	11
11	GENERAL	12
	ANNEXURE A - PERFOMANCE PLAN	13-22
	ANNEXURE B – PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN	23
	SCORING GUIDE	24
	A SAMPLE OF CALCULATIONS PROCEDURE	26

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Elias Motsoaledi Municipality (EMLM) has entered into a Contract of Employment with the Employee in terms of Section 57(1)(a) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 ("the Systems Act"). The Employer (Acting Municipal Manager) and the Employee (Senior Manager: Infrastructure services) are herein referred to as "the Parties".
- 1.2 Section 57(1) (b) of the Systems Act, read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual Performance Agreement. The Parties hereby conclude the Performance Agreement for the period 01st July 2019 to 30th June 2020.
- 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved and secure the commitment of the Employee (Senior Manager: Infrastructure services) reporting to the Employer (Acting Municipal Manager), to a set of actions that will secure local government policy goals.

2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The Parties agree that the purposes of this Agreement are to:

- 2.1 comply with the provisions of Section 57(1)(b), s57 (4)(a), s57(4)(b) and s57(5) of the Systems Act;
- 2.2 specify objectives, indicators and targets defined and agreed with the Employee and communicate to the Employee the Employer's expectations of the Employee's performance and accountabilities in alignment with the Integrated Development Plan (IDP), Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and the budget of the Employer;
- 2.3 specify areas of accountabilities as set out in the performance plan which is an annexure to this performance agreement;
- 2.4 monitor and measure performance of the Employee against the set targeted outputs;
- 2.5 establish a transparent and accountable working relationship between the Parties;
- 2.6 give effect to the Municipality's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with its Employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery;
- 2.7 use the Performance Agreement as the basis for assessing whether the Employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his job; and
- 2.8 in the event of outstanding performance, to appropriately reward the Employee.

3. COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- 3.1 Regardless of the date of signature hereof, this Agreement shall be deemed to have commenced on the **01st July 2019 ending 30th June 2020**, and, subject to paragraph 3.3, will continue in force until a new Performance Agreement is concluded between the parties as contemplated in paragraph 3.2;
- 3.2 The Parties will review the provisions of this Agreement during June each year. The parties will conclude a new performance agreement that replaces this Agreement at least once a year by not later than July each year as prescribed by s57(2)(a) of the Systems Act.

Page 3 of 28

- The employee undertakes to actively focus towards the promotion and implementation of the Key Performance Areas (KPAs) (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the local government framework.
- The criteria upon which the performance of the employee must be assessed consist of two components, both of which must be contained in the performance agreement. The employee must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80:20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas (KPAs) and Core Competency Requirements (CCRs) respectively. Each area of assessment will be weighted and will contribute a specific part to the total score. KPAs covering the main areas of work will account for 80% and CCRs will account for 20% of the final assessment.
- The Employee's assessment will be based on his or her performance in terms of the outputs/outcomes (performance indicators) identified as per the performance plan which are linked to the KPA's, which constitute 80% of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the employer and employee:

Organizational Key Performance Areas (KPA`s)	Weighting
Spatial Rationale	0
Municipal Institutional Development and Transformation	10
Basic Service Delivery	75
Local Economic Development	5
Municipal Financial Viability and Management	10
Good Governance and Public Participation	0
Total	100%

5.7 The CCRs will make up the other 20% of the Employee's assessment score. CCRs that are deemed to be most critical for the employee's specific job should be selected from the list below as agreed to be between the Employer and the Employee and must be considered with due regard to the proficiency level agreed to:

CORE C	OMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS FOR EMPLOYEES (C	CR)
LEADING COMPETENCIE	S	
		Weight
Strategic Direction and Leadership	*Impact and Influence. *Institutional Performance Management. *Strategic Planning and Management. *Organizational Awareness.	10
People Management	*Human Capital Planning and Development. *Diversity Management *Employee Relations Management. *Negotiation and Dispute Management.	10
Program and Project Management	*Program and Project Planning and Implementation. *Service Delivery Management. *Program and Project Monitoring and Evaluation.	20
Financial Management	*Budget Planning and Execution.	10

	*Financial Strategy and Delivery *Financial Reporting and Monitoring.	
Change Leadership	*Change Vision and Strategy. *Process Design and Improvement. *Change Impact Monitoring and Evaluation.	10
Governance Leadership	*Policy Formulation. *Risk and Compliance Management. *Cooperative Governance.	5
CORE COMPETENCIES		
Moral Competence		5
Planning and Organising	,	10
Analysis and Innovation		5
Knowledge and information Management		5
Communication		5
Results and Quality Focus		5
Total Percentage		100%

6. EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 6.1 Annexure "A" to this Agreement sets out:
- 6.1.1 the standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and
- 6.1.2 the intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance.
- Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the Employer may, in addition, review the Employee's performance at any stage while the Contract of Employment remains in force.
- 6.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented and, where possible, actions agreed.
- 6.4 The annual performance appraisals must involve:
 - (a) Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance plan:
 - (i) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance indicators have been met and with due regard to ad hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA.
 - (ii) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each KPA
 - (iii) The applicable assessment rating calculator must then e used to add the scores and calculate a final score.
 - (b) Assessment of the CCRs

Page 6 of 28

- (i) Each CCR should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards have been met
- (ii) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each CCR
- (iii) This rating should be multiplied by the weighting given to each CCR during the contracting process, to provide a score.
- (iv) The applicable assessment rating calculator must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CCR score.

Overall Rating

- (i) An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcome of the performance appraisals.
- (ii) The assessment of the performance of the employee will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's and CCR's (i.e the following table will be used in determining the payment of the reward):

LEVEL	DESCRIPTION	RATING	ASSESSMENT SCORE	PERFORMANCE BONUS RATIOS
Level 5: Outstanding Performance	Performance far exceeds the standard expected for the job in all areas of the manager. The manager has achieved exceptional results against all performance criteria and indicators specified in the Performance Plan and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.	5	75 – 100	Maximum bonus allowed ito. Regulations is between 10% and 14% of person's inclusive annual remuneration package. The % as determined per Council Resolution is as follows: 75 - 76% = 10% 77 - 78% = 11% 79 - 80% = 12% 81 - 84% = 13% 85 - 100% = 14%

Level 4: Performance significantly above expectations	Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected for the job in all areas. The manager has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators specified in the Performance Plan and fully achieved all others throughout the year.	4	65 – 74	Maximum bonus allowed ito. Regulations is between 5% and 9% of person's inclusive annual remuneration package The % as determined per Council Resolution is as follows: 65 – 66%=5% 67 – 68%=6% 69 –70% = 7% 71-72% =8% 73 – 74% =9%
Level 3:	Performance fully meets the standard	3	51 – 64	No bonus
Fully effective	expected for the job in all areas. The manager has achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators specified in the Performance Plan and may have achieved results significantly above expectations in one or two less significant areas throughout the year.			
Level 2:	Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. The	2	31 – 50	No bonus
Performance not fully	manager has achieved adequate results against many key			
satisfactory	performance criteria and indicators specified in the Performance Plan but	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		
	did not fully achieved adequate results against others during the			
	course of the year. Improvement in these areas is necessary to bring			
	performance up to the standard expected.			

Level 1:	Performance does not meet the	1	Less than 30	No bonus
Unacceptable performance	standard required for the job. The manager has not met one or more fundamental requirements and/or is achieving results that are well below the performance criteria and indicators in a number of significant areas of responsibility. The manager has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability to bring performance up to the level expected despite efforts to encourage improvement.	1000000	Total Control of the	

6.5 Reward for Performance

- The performance bonus will be determined by the Municipal Council based on affordability and the stipulations of the Performance Agreement.
- 6.5.2 A merit reward for performance in addition to the annual reviewed remuneration will be considered by the Council not later than September under the following conditions:
 - The payment of the reward will be based on the period under review and result of the performance score;
 - b) The amount of the reward will not exceed 14% of the Employee's total remuneration, but will be subjected to affordability to the Municipality; and
 - c) The performance score will be obtained by using the performance plan.
 - d) Where external factors have a negative influence on the result of the performance as scrutinized and recommended by the Performance Audit Committee, the Municipality may grant a reward (see Regulation Number 29089 of 01 August 2006);
 - e) The reward if granted, will be paid annually after the compilation of the financial statements and after finalisation of the performance appraisal;
 - f) The final outcome of the performance appraisal will determine the reward;
- 6.6 For purpose of evaluating the annual performance of the Manager Directly Accountable to the Municipal Manager, an Evaluation Panel constituted of the following persons may be established
 - (i) Municipal Manager;
 - (ii) Chairperson or the relevant member of the Audit Committee;
 - (iii) The Member of the Executive Committee; and
 - (iv) Municipal Manager from another Municipality.
- 6.7 The manager responsible for performance management of the municipality or delegated assignee must provide secretariat services to the Evaluation Panel referred to above.

IK

Schedule for Performance Reviews

6.8 The performance of the Employee in relation to his or her performance agreement may be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

First quarter: July — September;

Second quarter: As soon as it is reasonably practicable after January;

Third quarter: April — June;

Fourth quarter: As soon as it is reasonably practical after November or after issuance of the Audit Report thereof.

- 6.9 The Employer must keep a record of the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings.
- 6.10 Performance feedback must be based on the Employer's assessment of the Employee's performance.
- 6.11 The Employer will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of the performance plan from time to time for operational reasons on agreement between both parties.
- 6.12 The Employer may amend the provisions of the performance plan whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented or amended as the case may be on agreement between both parties.

7. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

The Employer must -

- (1) Create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the employee;
- (2) Provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities;
- (3) Work collaboratively with the employee to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the employee;
- (4) On the request of the employee delegate such powers reasonably required by the employee to enable him or her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of the agreement; and
- (5) Make available to the employee such resources as the employee may reasonably require from time to time to assist him or her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of the agreement

8. CONSULTATION

- 8.1 The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the Employer's powers will –
- 8.1.1 have a direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee's functions;
- 8.1.2 commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Executive Committee;
- 8.1.3 have a substantial financial effect on the Municipality.

Page **10** of **28**

M

ww

8.2 The Employer agrees to inform the Employee of the outcome of any decisions taken pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in paragraph 8.1 as soon as is practicable to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay.

9. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

- 9.1 The evaluation of the Employee's performance will form the basis for rewarding outstanding performance or correcting unacceptable performance.
- 9.2 A performance bonus ranging from 5% to 14% of the all-inclusive remuneration package may be paid to an employee in recognition of outstanding performance. In determining the performance bonus the relevant percentage is based on an overall rating, calculated by using the applicable assessment rating calculator; provided that:
 - a score of 130% to 149% is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 5% to 9%; and
 - a score of 150% and above is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 10% to 14%.
- 9.3 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall:
 - Provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the Employee to improve his or her performance; and
 - After appropriate performance counselling and having provided the necessary guidance and/or support and reasonable time for improvement in performance, and performance does not improve, the Employer may, subject to compliance with applicable labour legislation, be entitled by notice in writing to the Employee to terminate the Employee's employment in accordance with the notice period set out in the Employee's contract of employment.

10. DISPUTES RESOLUTION

- Any disputes about the nature of the Employee's Performance Agreement whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment and/or salary increment in the agreement, must be mediated by the Mayor within thirty days (30) of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee whose decision shall be final and binding on both parties.
- Any disputes about the outcome of the Employee's performance evaluation must be mediated by a member of the municipal council, provided that such member was not part of the Evaluation Panel provided for in sub-regulation 27(4), within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee.
- 10.3 Nothing contained in this Agreement in any way limits the right of the Employer to terminate the Employee's Contract of Employment with or without notice for any other breach by the Employee of his obligations to the Municipality or for any other valid reason in law.

NR

11. GENERAL

- 11.1 The contents of this Agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of Annexure "A" will not be confidential, and may be made available to the public by the Municipality, where appropriate.
- 11.2 Nothing in this Agreement diminishes the obligations, duties or accountabilities of the Employee in terms of his Contract of Employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.
- 11.3 At the end of the tunnel, the Employee may not be assessed if s/he presents to be in the employ of the Employer for a period of less than six (06) months.

Signed at Groblersdal, Elias Motsoaledi Local Municipality, on this <u>35</u> day of <u>Tuly</u> 2019.

N.R MAKGATA

SENIOR MANAGER: INFRASTRUCTURE

AS WITNESSES:

6	
12° 100	
MC IN ANA	
LSC/VIVV	

Signed at Groblersdal, Elias Motsoaledi Local Municipality, on this 25 day of 2019.

M.M KGWALE

ACTING MUNICIPAL MANAGER

AS WITNESSES:

Page 12 of 28

Mar

Page **13** of **28**

1. ANNEXURE A: PERFORMANCE PLAN

*

KPA 3: LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Strategic objectives: To promote conducive environment for economic growth and development

Evidence	List appointees
4th Ort	400
2019/2020 3rd Qtr.	250
1st Otr. 2nd Otr.	160
1st Ottr.	06
Annual target	400
Audited baseline 2017/18	200
Budget Source	MIG/ INEP/ EMLM
Weightin	5
Key performance indicator	Number of job opportunities created through infrastructure projects by 30 June 2020 (GKPI)
Programme	EPWP

KPA 4 - BASIC SERVICE DELIVERY AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT

Strategic objectives: To provide for basic services delivery and sustainable infrastructural development

Evidence	Completion Certificates	Completion
o 4th Ottr.	1505	6.7kms
2019/2020 3rd Qtr.	n/a	n/a
2nd Qtr.	n/a	n/a
1st Qtr.	n/a	n/a
Annual target	1505	6.7kms
Audited baseline 2017/18	new	8.26km
Budget Source	INEP	MIG
Weighti	2	2
Key performance indicator	Number of stands provided with electrical infrastructure by the 30 June 2020 (GKPI)	kilometers of roads upgraded from gravel to tar
Program me	Electricity	Roads and storm water

Evidence	Completion certificates	Completion
o 4th Off.	70km	350km
2019/2020 3rd Otr.	45km	235km
2nd Ott.	30km	120km
1st Otr.	20km	70km
Annual target	70km	350km
Audited baseline 2017/18	new	4.5km
Budget Source	Opex	Opex
Weighti ng	2	7
Key performance indicator	Kilometers of graveled roads re-graveled	Kilometers of graveled roads bladed
Program	Roads and storm water	Roads and storm water

100

KPA 2: INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND MUNICIPAL TRANSFORMATION

Strategic Objectives: To build capable, responsive, accountable, effective and efficient municipal institutions and administration

	Evidence	Proof of submission	Proof of submission
8020	4 th Otr.	12	12
2019/2020	3rd Qtr.	6	o
	2nd Ottr.	ဖ	
	1st Off.	က	ю
Annual		12	12
Audited baseline	2017/18	new	new
Budget	Source	n/a	n/a
Weightin	,	ന	က
Key	indicator	Number of MIG reports submitted to CoGHSTA	Number of reports submitted to department of energy
Programme)	Municipal Infrastructure Grants (MIG)	Department of Energy(DOE)

Page **15** of **28**

KPA 5: MUNICIPAL FINANCIAL VIABILITY AND MANAGEMENT

Strategic Objectives: To improve sound and sustainable municipal financial management

Ewidence			MIG monthly report			INEP monthly report
Ath Offe	j	100%	(54 921 000)		100%	(19 000 000)
2019/2020	3	92%	(35 698 650)		75%	(14 250 000)
2 Parid Other	בוומ עווי.	%09	(32 952 600)	- Paristra	20%	(9 500 000)
3	. St. Kall.	20%	(10 984 200)		725%	(4 750 000)
Annual target		100%	(54 921 000)		100%	(19 000 000)
Audited baseline	ZUT/118		100%			пем
Budget		MIG	(54 921 000)		INEP	(19 000
Weightin		5			വ	
ă	indicator	% spending on MIG funding by	the 30 June 2020		% spending on INEP funding by	30 June 2020
Programme		Project	5 5 7 3 7 8	-	Electricity	

Page **16** of **28**

KPA 6: GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Strategic objec	Strategic objectives: To enhance good governance and public participation	overnance a	nd public p	articipation				USUGIOP		
Programme	Key performance indicator	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	Budget	Audited baseline 2017/18	Annual target	1st Qtr.	2nd Offr.	3rd Qtr.	4th Otr.	Evidence
Audit	Obtain an Unqualified Auditor General opinion for the 2018/19 financial year	က	n/a	Unqualified Audit Opinion	Unqualifie d Audit Opinion	n/a	Unqualified Opinion	n/a	n/a	AGSA audit report
Audit	% of Auditor General matters resolved as per the approved audit action plan by 30 June 2020	m	n/a	82%	100%	n/a	n/a	20%	100%	Audit action plan
Audit	% of Internal Audit Findings resolved per quarter as per the Audit Plan	2	n/a	new	100%	25%	65%	75%	100%	Quarterly IA status reports
Risk management	% execution of identified risk management plan within prescribed timeframes per quarter	0	n/a	100%	100%	20%	75%	85%	100%	Quarterly Risk assessment reports

Page **17** of **28**

Evidence	100% Processing of procurement request submitted	Q1 progress report Q2 progress report Q3 progress report report	Q1 design report Q2 appointment letter
4th Quarter	100% Processing of procurement request submitted	n/a	Construction Installation of transformer, maters and stringing of airdec
3rd quarter	100% Processing of procureme nt request submitted	75% Constructio n and completion of the cell	Constructio n Planting poles, stringing of MV and LV
2nd quarter	100% Processing of procureme nt request submitted	50% constructio n, (overhaul, backfilling and lining of cells and pond)	Appointme nt of the contractor (specificati on, tender advert, contractor appointed)
1st quarter	100% Processing of procureme in request submitted	30% site establishm ent, clear and grubbing, excavation s of the cell , ponds and drainage system	(design completed)
Annual	100% Processing of procuremen t request submitted	100% Constructio n of the cell	300 stands of stands reticulated with electrical infrastructur e by 30 June 2020
Audite d baselí ne 2017/1	new	new	New
Original Budget R 000's 2020/20	400 000	13 000 000 (MIG)	1 435 000
Weighti ng	E C	m	4
key performance indicator	% Processing of procurement request submitted	Upgrading of Groblersdal Landfill site	Number of stands reticulated with electrical infrastructure at Makaepea //Mashemong village
CAPITAL PROJECTS d Project k	Air	Groblersdal landfill site	Reticulation of stands with electrical infrastructure at Makaepea village / Mashemong village
CAP Ward No.	n/a	65	25

, a destilition, and

Evidence	Q3 progress report Q4 progress report	Q1 progress report Q2 progress report Q3 progress report Q4 Completion certificate	Q1 progress report Q2 progress report Q3 progress report Q4 Completion certific-ate
4th Quarter		975 stands reticulated with electrical infrastructure	298 stands reticulated with electrical infrastructure
3rd quarter		Construction (Installation of airdecand installation of meters	Construction (Installation of airdec and installation of meters
2nd quarter		Construction (installation of airdecand installation of meters	Construction (Installation of airdecand installation of meters
1st quarter		Construction (excavation, planting of poles and stringing of MV and LV)	Constructio n (excavation, planting of poles and stringing of MV and LV)
Annual target		975 stands reticulated with electrical infrastructur e by 30 June 2020	298 stands reticulated with electrical infrastructur e by 30 June 2020
Audite d baseli ne 2017/1		100%	221
Original Budget R 000's 2020/20		12 266 000	3 864 000
Weighti ng		4	4
key performance indicator		Number of stands reticulated with electrical infrastructure by 30 June 2020	Number of stands reticulated with electrical infrastructure by 30 June 2020
Project		Reticulation of stands with electrical infrastructure at New Town village(Thamb o)	Reticulation of stands with electrical infrastructure at Masakaneng
Ward No.		60	14

ij.

Evidence	Q1 design report Q2 appointment letter Q3 progress report Q4 progress	Completion certificate	Q1 copy of advertisement Q2 appointment letter
4th Quarter	Construction Installation of transformer, maters and stringing of airdec	2 high mast lights installed	100% development of designs for upgrading of Bloempoort to Uitspanning access road
3rd quarter	Constructio n Planting poles, stringing of MV and LV	constructio n (installation of high mast light)	75% Preliminary designs
2nd quarter	Appointme nt of the contractor (specificati on, tender advert, contractor appointed)	construction (excavation of foundation, casting of concrete)	50% appointmen t of service provider
1st quarter	(design completed)	Project assessmen t and submission of costing report	25% advertisem ent of service provider (10% specificatio n, 15%
Annual target	319 of stands reticulated with electrical infrastructur e by 30 June 2020	2 high mass light to be installed by 30 June 2020	100% developme nt of designs for upgrading of Bloempoort to
Audite d baseli ne 2017/1	пем	New	пем
Original Budget R 000's 2020/20	1 435 000	522 000	1 500 000
Weighfi ng	4	r	4
key performance indicator	Number of stands reticulated with electrical infrastructure by 30 June 2020	Number of high mast light to be installed	% development of designs for upgrading of Bloempoort to Uitspanning access road
Project	Reticulation of stands with electrical infrastructure at Ntswelemotse	High mast lights	Upgrading of Bloempoort to Uitspanning access road (designs only)
Ward No.	4	10	-

Evidence	Q3 preliminary design Q4 detailed design	Q1 copy of advertisement Q2 appointment letter Q3 preliminary design Q4 detailed design	Q1 progress report Q2 progress report
4th Quarter		100% development of designs for upgrading of Dipakapakeng Access road	100% construction of access bridge and 5.2 km of Kgapamadi road
3rd quarter		75% Preliminary designs	75% constructio n (base and kerbs and surfacing)
2nd quarter		appointmen t of service provider	50% constructio n (storm water completed
1st quarter	advertisem ent)	25% advertisem ent of service provider (10% specificatio n, 15% advertisem ent)	25% constructio n (bridge completed
Annual target	Uitspanning access road	100% developme nt of designs for upgrading of Dipakapake ng Access road	100% construction of access bridge and 5.2 km of Kgapamadi
Audite d baseli ne 2017/1		New	74%
Original Budget R 000's 2020/20		1 500 000	22 816 000
Weighting		4	က
key performance indicator		% Upgrading of Dipakapakeng Access road	% construction of Kgaphamadi road 5.2km
Project		Upgrading of Dipakapakeng Access road (design only)	Kgaphamadi road construction
Ward No.		58	21

Evidence	Q3 progress report Q4 completion certificate	Q1 appointment letter Q2 progress report Q3 progress report Q4 completion certificate	Q1 copy of advertisement Q2 letter
4th Quarter		rehabilitation of Groblersdal roads and streets	100% development of designs for upgrading of Tafelkop Stadium Access Road
3rd quarter		75% rehabilitatio n of Groblersdal roads and streets	75% Preliminary designs
2nd quarter	and sub- base)	50% rehabilitatio n of Groblersdal roads and streets	50% appointmen t of service provider
1st quarter	and road bed)	25% Identificatio n of work and costing	25% advertisem ent of service provider (10% specificatio n, 15%
Annual target	road by 30 June 2020	100% rehabilitatio n of Groblersdal roads and streets	100% developme nt of designs for upgrading of Tafelkop Stadium Access Road
Audite d baseli ne 2017/1		100%	New
Original Budget R 000's 2020/20		000 969 8	000 969
Weighti		ന	m
key performance indicator		% rehabilitation of Groblersdal roads and streets	% Upgrading of Tafelkop Stadium Access Road
Project		Groblersdal roads and streets	Upgrading of Tafelkop Stadium Access Road (designs only)
Ward No.		<u>ಟ</u>	27

 M W

Evidence	Q3 preliminary design Q4 detailed design	Q1 appointment letter Q2 progress report Q3 progress report Q4 progress	Q1 appointment letter
4th Quarter		100% base kerbing and surphase	100% base kerbing and surphase
3rd quarter		75% Sub-base	75% Sub-base
2nd quarter		50% Constructio n of road bed	20%
1st quarter	advertisem ent)	25% appointmen t of the contractor and site establishm ent	25% appointmen t of the contractor and site
Annual target		100% Constructio n of Tambo Road	100%
Audite d baseli ne 2017/1		100%	100%
Original Budget R 000's 2020/20		8 400 000	7 705 000
Weighti ng		4	က
key performance indicator		% construction of Tambo road 3.2km	% construction of JJ Zaaiplaas road 1.5km
Project		Construction of Tambo Road	كار Zaaiplaas road
Ward No.		o .	13

Evidence	Q2 progress report Q3	progress report	Q4 progress report	Copy of advertisement and appointment letter C2 progress report C3 progress report C3 progress completion certificate
4th Quarter				100% Construction of Motetema internal streets
3rd quarter				75% base, kerbing and Stormwater
2nd quarter	Constructio n of road bed			50% Site establishm ent, road bed and sub-base
1st quarter	establishm ent			25% advertisem ent and appointmen t of the contractor
Annwal target	Constructio n of Tambo Road			100% of Motetema internal streets
Audite d baseli ne 2017/1				%0
Original Budget R 000's 2020/20				3 478 000
Weighti				m
key performance indicator				% construction of Motetema internal streets 1.3km
Project				Motetema internal streets
Ward No.				33

No

Evidence	Delivery note	Q1 progress report. Q2 completion certificate	*Expenditure report *Purchase Order *Delivery Note
4 th Quarter	100% purchase of culverts and road signs	n/a	100% expenditure on machinery and equipment
3rd quarter	75% purchase of culverts and road signs	n/a	50% expenditure on machinery and equipment
2nd quarter		100% developme nt of workshop	10% expenditure on machinery and equipment
1st quarter	25% purchase of culverts and road signs	75% brick work	5% expenditure on machinery and equipment
Annual target	100% purchase of culverts and road signs	100% developme nt of workshop	100% expenditure on machinery and equipment
Audite d baseli ne ne 2017/1	new	%0	100%
Original Budget R 000's 2020/20	1 739 000	2 476 000	200 000
Weightí Rg	က	es	m
key performance indicator	% purchase of culverts and road signs	% development of workshop	% expenditure on machinery and equipment (tools)
Ward Project	Culverts and road signs	Development of workshop	Machinery and equipment (tools)
Ward No.	n/a	13	n/a

2. ANNEXUERE B: EMPLOYEE'S PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE PERIOD JULY 2019 - JUNE 2020

	ager
Support person	Acting Municipal Manager
Work opportunity to Support person practice skills or development area	Transport infrastructure management
Suggested time frames	July 2019 – December 2020
Suggested Suggested mode of time frames delivery	Masters
Suggested training and / or development activity	Masters in Civil Engineering: Transport studies
Outcomes expected (measureable indicators)	To have high level knowledge on transport issues within local spheres of government
Skills / performance Gap (in order of priority)	Transport infrastructure management

25 OT 12019 DATE

N. R. MAKGATA J SENIOR MANAGER: INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES

MR.

3. SCORING GUIDE AGAINST THE KPI WEIGHTING

Weighting	Performance Levels as per PMS Framework							
	1	2	3	4	5			
1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1			
2	0.4	0.8	1.2	1.6	2			
3	0.6	1.2	1.8	2.4	3			
4	0.8	1.6	2.4	3.2	4			
5	1	2	3	4	5			
6	1.2	2.4	3.6	4.8	6			
7	1.4	2.8	4.2	5.6	7			
8	1.6	3.2	4.8	6.4	8			
10	2	4	6	8	10			
11	2.2	4.4	6.6	8.8	11			
12	2.4	4.8	7.2	9.6	12			
13	2.6	5.2	7.8	10.4	13			
14	2.8	5.6	8.4	11.2	14			

Page **26** of **28**

Performance Levels as per PMS Framework				
1	2	3	4	5
3	6	9	12	15
4	8	12	16	20
5	10	15	20	25
6	12	18	24	30
7	14	21	28	35
8	16	24	32	40
9	18	27	36	45
10	20	30	40	50
	3 4 5 6 7 8	1 2 3 6 4 8 5 10 6 12 7 14 8 16 9 18	1 2 3 3 6 9 4 8 12 5 10 15 6 12 18 7 14 21 8 16 24 9 18 27	1 2 3 4 3 6 9 12 4 8 12 16 5 10 15 20 6 12 18 24 7 14 21 28 8 16 24 32 9 18 27 36

Page **27** of **28**

4. A SAMPLE OF CALCULATIONS PROCEDURE / METHODOLOGY

<u>CCR</u>

CONVERT TO 20%: FINAL SCORE DIVIDE BY WEIGHTING MULTIPLY BY 20

e.g. 86÷100×20=17.2

KPA

CONVERT TO 80%: FINAL SCORE DIVIDE BY WEIGHTING MULTIPLY BY 80

e.g. KPA: 77÷101×80= 60.9

TOTAL: e.g KPA + CCR = 78.1

PERFORMANCE LEVEL(1 to 5) = (5% to 14% BONUS)

Page **28** of **28**

M